

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re: :
ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL TRANSPORT : Civil Action No. 04-374 (JWB)
SECURITIES LITIGATION : **ORDER**
:

For the reasons set forth in the Court's Opinion filed
herewith,

It is on this 9th day of August, 2005, **ORDERED** that:

(1.) The motions brought by the RDS Defendants, the
Individual Defendants, KPMG NV, KPMG International, PwC UK and
PwC International to dismiss the claims that are asserted on
behalf of putative class members who are foreign nationals and
who purchased their shares on foreign exchanges, pursuant to
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), be and they hereby are
denied;

(2.) Defendant Watts' motion to dismiss for lack of
personal jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
12(b)(2) be and it hereby is denied;

(3.) The Royal Dutch and Shell Transport Group Defendants'
motion to dismiss Counts I and III of the Complaint and
Individual Defendants Watts, van de Vijver, van der Veer and
Boynton's motions to dismiss Counts I and III of the Complaint,
pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) et al., be and they hereby

are denied;

(4.) Individual Defendants Moody-Stuart, van den Bergh, Jacobs, Brinded, Miller, Skinner and Roels motions to dismiss Count I and Count III of the Complaint be and they hereby are granted, and claims against them are dismissed without prejudice.;

(5.) Defendants Royal Dutch and Shell Transport's motion to dismiss Counts IV and V of the Complaint is granted, with prejudice;

(6.) KPMG NV's and PwC UK's motions to dismiss Count II of the Complaint be and they hereby are denied;

(7.) PwC International's and KPMG International's motions to dismiss Count II of the Complaint be and they hereby are granted and that Count is dismissed as to PwC International with prejudice and as to KPMG International, without prejudice;

(8.) The claims of those alleged class members who purchased the securities in question during the Class Period but have not yet sold be and they hereby are dismissed, with prejudice;


(9.) The claims of those alleged class members who purchased the securities in question after January 9, 2004 and have sold them thereafter be and they hereby are dismissed without prejudice; and

(10.) Lead Plaintiff may, if it so chooses, amend the

present Complaint and, in compliance with Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al., ___ U.S. ___, 125 S. Ct. 1627 (2005), plead proximate causation and economic loss with respect to the securities purchased after January 9, 2004 (and sold thereafter) including, but not limited to, a detailed analysis of the impact of the March 18, 2004 announcement;

(11.) Plaintiff also may, if it so chooses, amend the present Complaint to plead certain claims against KPMG International, and Individual Defendants Moody-Stuart, van den Bergh, Jacobs, Brinded, Miller, Skinner and Roels, consistent with this Opinion; and

(12.) Any amended pleading permitted in paragraphs 10 and 11 above shall be filed and served within 30 days of the date of this Order. As to any such claim now dismissed without prejudice which is not so amended, the dismissal shall become one with prejudice.



JOHN W. BISSELL
Chief Judge
United States District Court