

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

OFIR SCHWEIGER, Individually and on Behalf
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

ULTRA CLEAN HOLDINGS, INC., JAMES
SCHOLHAMER, and SHERI SAVAGE,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-02768

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff Ofir Schweiger (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons
2 similarly situated, by his undersigned attorneys, alleges in this Complaint for violations of the
3 federal securities laws (the “Complaint”) the following based upon knowledge with respect to his
4 own acts, and upon facts obtained through an investigation conducted by his counsel, which
5 included, *inter alia*: (a) review and analysis of relevant filings made by Ultra Clean Holdings, Inc.
6 (“Ultra Clean” or the “Company”) with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission
7 (the “SEC”); (b) review and analysis of Ultra Clean’s public documents, conference calls, press
8 releases, and stock chart; (c) review and analysis of securities analysts’ reports and advisories
9 concerning the Company; and (d) information readily obtainable on the internet.

10 Plaintiff believes that further substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations
11 set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery. Most of the facts supporting the
12 allegations contained herein are known only to the defendants or are exclusively within their
13 control.

14 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

15 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of all investors who purchased or
16 otherwise acquired Ultra Clean securities between May 6, 2024 to February 24, 2025, inclusive
17 (the “Class Period”), seeking to recover damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the federal
18 securities laws (the “Class”).

19 2. Defendants provided investors with material information concerning the elevated
20 demand from Chinese original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and in the general Chinese
21 domestic market for Ultra Clean’s products throughout the fiscal year 2024. Defendants’
22 statements included, among other things, reports of increased demand for the Company’s products
23 and services in the domestic Chinese market and reports of increased revenue, including revenue
24 doubling with no signs of slowing down, due to the elevated demand in China for Ultra Clean’s
25 products and services.

26 3. Defendants provided these overwhelmingly positive statements to investors while,
27 at the same time, disseminating materially false and misleading statements and/or concealing
28 material adverse facts concerning the true state of the demand for Ultra Clean’s products and

1 services in the domestic Chinese market; notably, that the Company was facing a customer ramp
2 issue with one of its critical customers, as well as a combination of inventory and demand
3 corrections, which, ultimately, caused weakness for Ultra Clean in China.

4 4. On February 24, 2025, Ultra Clean published fourth quarter and full year 2024
5 fiscal results and hosted an associated earnings call, where the Company’s executives revealed that
6 Ultra Clean was facing “demand softness” in China. In particular, Ultra Clean was facing
7 decreased demand in China due to extended qualification timelines and inventory absorption.

8 5. Investors and analysts reacted immediately to these revelations. The price of Ultra
9 Clean’s common stock declined dramatically. From a closing market price of \$36.06 per share on
10 February 24, 2025, Ultra Clean’s stock price fell to \$25.90 per share on February 25, 2025, a
11 decline of over 28% in the span a single day.

12 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13 6. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated
14 investors, to recover losses sustained in connection with Defendants’ fraud.

15 7. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the
16 Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the
17 SEC (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

18 8. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1337, and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §78aa.

20 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act and 28 U.S.C.
21 §1391(b), as Defendant Ultra Clean is headquartered in this District and a significant portion of its
22 business, actions, and the subsequent damages to Plaintiff and the Class, took place within this
23 District.

24 10. In connection with the acts, conduct, and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint,
25 Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,
26 including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone communications, and the
27 facilities of the national securities exchange.

THE PARTIES

1
2 11. Plaintiff purchased Ultra Clean common stock at artificially inflated prices during
3 the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the Defendants’ fraud. Plaintiff’s
4 certification evidencing his transaction(s) in Ultra Clean is attached hereto.

5 12. Ultra Clean Holdings, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive
6 offices located at 26462 Corporate Avenue, Hayward, California 94545. During the Class Period,
7 the Company’s common stock traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market (the “NASDAQ”) under the
8 symbol “UCTT.”

9 13. Defendant James Scholhamer (“Scholhamer”) was, at all relevant times, the
10 Director and Chief Executive Officer of Ultra Clean.

11 14. Defendant Sheri Savage (“Savage”) was, at all relevant times, the Senior Vice
12 President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Ultra Clean.

13 15. Defendants Scholhamer and Savage are sometimes referred to herein as the
14 “Individual Defendants.” Ultra Clean together with the Individual Defendants are referred to
15 herein as the “Defendants.”

16 16. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed
17 the power and authority to control the contents of Ultra Clean’s reports to the SEC, press releases,
18 and presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers, and institutional investors,
19 *i.e.*, the market. Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the Company’s reports
20 and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had
21 the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their
22 positions and access to material non-public information available to them, each of these Individual
23 Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being
24 concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being made were then
25 materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements
26 pleaded herein, as those statements were each “group-published” information, the result of the
27 collective actions of the Individual Defendants.

1 17. Ultra Clean is liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants, and its employees
2 under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* and common law principles of agency as all the wrongful
3 acts complained of herein were carried out within the scope of their employment with
4 authorization.

5 18. The scienter of the Individual Defendants, and other employees and agents of the
6 Company are similarly imputed to Ultra Clean under *respondeat superior* and agency principles.

7 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

8 **A. Company Background**

9 19. Ultra Clean is a developer and supplier of subsystems, components, parts, and ultra-
10 high purity cleaning and analytical services primarily for the semiconductor industry. Ultra Clean
11 offers its customers an integrated outsourced solution for major subassemblies, improved design-
12 to-delivery cycle times, design for manufacturability, prototyping and part and component
13 manufacturing, as well as tool chamber parts cleaning and coating, and micro-contamination
14 analytical services.

15 20. The Company reports results for two segments: Products and Services. The
16 Products segment primarily designs, engineers, and manufactures production tools, components
17 and parts, and modules and subsystems for the semiconductor and display capital equipment
18 markets. Products include chemical delivery modules, frame assemblies, gas delivery systems,
19 fluid delivery systems, precision robotics, process modules as well as other high-level assemblies.
20 The Services segment provides ultra-high purity parts cleaning, process tool part recoating, surface
21 encapsulation and high sensitivity micro contamination analysis primarily for the semiconductor
22 device makers and wafer fabrication equipment (“WFE”) markets.

1 [Emphasis added].

2 July 25, 2024

3 23. On July 25, 2024, Ultra Clean published second quarter 2024 results, touting the
4 Company's success in China. As part of the associated earnings call, CEO Scholhamer stated, in
5 relevant part:

6 We continue to perform well in 2024, with second quarter revenue and earnings at
7 the high end of our guided range. **We saw strength in both Products and Services**
8 **across all geographies and in particular, elevated equipment spending within the**
9 **domestic China market and customers supplying high bandwidth memory and**
10 **equipment supporting advanced packaging for AI applications.**

11 [Emphasis added].

12 24. Also during the earnings call, CFO Savage stated, in pertinent part:

13 As Jim mentioned, total company-wide revenue was up quarter-over-quarter across
14 all geographies and major customers, **most notably in the domestic China market.**

15 [Emphasis added].

16 25. Then, during the question-and-answer segment of the earnings call, CEO
17 Scholhamer responded to questions from an analyst at Needham & Company, LLC, in relevant
18 part:

19 <Q: Yu Shi – Needham & Company, LLC – Senior Analyst> Congrats on the
20 consistent execution. I'm glad to see the total revenue retaking that \$500 million.
21 Well, we haven't seen that since, I guess, fourth quarter 2022, right? But I want to
22 follow up on a few things that Krish just asked. First thing about China. I know that
23 you talked about China, that's your direct China exposure somewhere around 10%
24 sounds like. Going into the second half of this year, how is that business going to
25 trend? Do you see more consistent, half-over-half growth, or any chance to see any
26 inflection, maybe level off, start to decline? What's the scenario there, what you're
27 seeing in the China business from this point and forward?

28 <A: James P. Scholhamer – CEO> Yes. Yes, sure, Charles. I mean, as I've said last
quarter, we expect this high level of business to continue in China through the rest
of the year. And it's really been a huge benefit for us to have this unusual footprint
that we have in China that most suppliers do not have. **But we do not see it really**
tailoring off. But I don't think that's the whole story. We're also seeing strength in
other parts of our diversified business that's really helping us kind of move
forward. So when you think about detractors or what might degrade, we're not

1 *looking at that. I think we're looking at things pretty much staying how they are,*
2 *and we're looking more at a potential upside to certain areas as we go forward.*

3 [Emphasis added].

4 October 28, 2024

5 26. On October 28, 2024, Ultra Clean published third quarter 2024 results and an
6 outlook for the fourth quarter, claiming China would keep growing as the local manufacturing
7 continued to ramp. The fourth quarter outlook was presented as follows, in relevant part:

8 **Fourth Quarter 2024 Outlook**

9 The Company expects revenue in the range of \$535 million to \$585 million. The
10 Company expects GAAP diluted net income per share to be between \$0.06 and
\$0.26 and non-GAAP diluted net income per share to be between \$0.34 and \$0.54.

11 27. As part of the press release, CEO Scholhamer stated, in pertinent part:

12 UCT's third quarter results came in above expectations driven by broader
13 equipment demand for AI infrastructure build out and sustained domestic China
14 market spending. The rationale for significant long-term investment in WFE
15 remains strong. UCT's vertical integration capabilities and strategic manufacturing
16 network provide a competitive edge, and should enable us to increase share as
demand expands.

17 28. The same day, Ultra Clean hosted an associated earnings call, wherein CEO
18 Scholhamer specifically discussed the Company's "strength" in China, in relevant part:

19 Turning to China. Revenue from our Shanghai manufacturing facility supporting
20 local Chinese OEMs remained elevated. Recent conversations with local customers
21 and our expectation that regional fabs will continue to expand and new ones will
22 come online, supported by local government investments, leads us to believe that
this will - higher level of spend will continue into 2025. We will continue to meet
regularly with our local Chinese customers and watch for any change in sentiment
or spending patterns that could alter our opinion.

23 * * *

24 This has been continued strength. And I just actually met with the CEOs in China,
25 and they continue to think that that's going to stay strong through the year and
through the next year as well.

26 * * *

27 We have 2 main customers there [in China], and 1 is dealing with some internal
28 issues and quality and it's not our issue. Another 1 is growing faster. So we had - it
is lumpiness, but it's nothing to be concerned about the long-term prospects.

1 29. The above statements in Paragraphs 21 to 28 were false and/or materially
2 misleading. Defendants created the false impression that they possessed reliable information
3 pertaining to the demand for Company’s products and services in the domestic Chinese market. In
4 truth, Ultra Clean’s optimistic reports of significant growth and increased earnings potential fell
5 short of reality as they failed to incorporate the impending weaker demand due to issues one of its
6 major customers was facing, extended qualification timelines, and inventory absorption,
7 particularly given the volatility of the semiconductor industry.

8 **C. The Truth Emerges when Ultra Clean Disclosed that the “Elevated Demand”**
9 **in the Chinese Domestic Market was a Temporary Consequence of the**
10 **Semiconductor Industry’s Volatility**

11 February 24, 2025

12 30. On February 24, 2025, Ultra Clean published fourth quarter and full year 2024
13 results, in pertinent part:

14 **Fourth Quarter 2024 GAAP Financial Results**

15 Total revenue was \$563.3 million. Products contributed \$503.5 million and Services added
16 \$59.8 million. Total gross margin was 16.3%, operating margin was 4.6%, and net income
17 was \$16.3 million or \$0.36 per diluted share. This compares to total revenue of \$540.4
18 million, gross margin of 17.3%, operating margin of 4.7%, and net loss of \$(2.3) million
19 or \$(0.05) per diluted share, in the prior quarter.

20 **Full Year 2024 Non-GAAP Financial Results**

21 On a non-GAAP basis, the company reported gross margin of 17.5%, operating
22 margin of 6.9%, and net income of \$65.2 million or \$1.44 per diluted share. This
23 compares to gross margin of 16.6%, operating margin of 4.9%, and net income of
24 \$25.2 million or \$0.56 per diluted share in the prior year.

25 **First Quarter 2025 Outlook**

26 The Company expects revenue in the range of \$505 million to \$555 million. The
27 Company expects GAAP diluted net income (loss) per share to be between \$(0.11)
28 and \$0.09 and non-GAAP diluted net income per share to be between \$0.22 and
\$0.42.

31. The same day, the Company hosted an associated earnings call, disclosing that the
Company was experiencing some “unexpected softness” in China. As part of the earnings call,
CFO Savage stated, in relevant part:

1 We are experiencing some unexpected demand softness from our in China, for
2 China business relating to extended qualification time lines and some inventory
3 digestion.

4 Right now, our focus remains on driving efficiencies and keeping a close eye on
5 our cost structure to ensure we continue delivering value to our stakeholders. We
6 are very energized by the many opportunities we see heading our way. UCT's long-
7 standing leadership role in the semiconductor equipment manufacturing space will
8 play a pivotal role in advancing the industry to new heights in the coming years.

9 And now I'll switch to a review of our financial performance and outlook, where I
10 will be referring to non-GAAP numbers only. For the fourth quarter, total revenue
11 came in at \$563.3 million compared to \$540.4 million in the prior quarter. Revenue
12 from Products increased to \$503.5 million from \$479 million last quarter due to
13 increasing demand for advanced packaging applications and other AI-related
14 processes, including CMP, which offset some softening from the domestic China
15 market.

16 * * *

17 As mentioned earlier, the growth we saw last year supporting AI build-outs should
18 stay around current levels with some up and downs as the industry prioritizes its
19 spending patterns. We are seeing a reduction in demand from our domestic China
20 customers as qualification periods are taking longer than originally planned, and
21 we presume they are consuming inventory purchased in 2024.

22 32. Also during the earnings call, Ultra Clean's executives answered questions from
23 analysts. In pertinent part:

24 <Q: Yu Shi – Needham & Company, LLC – Senior Analyst> I think so far, I heard
25 the 3 -- probably 3 dependent issues related to China for China business. One, a
26 little bit customer specific. It seems like one customer has some qualification ramp
27 issues. Two, you also mentioned that there seems to be some softening of the China
28 demand. But three, I think you said that there is a little bit of inventory excess that
your Chinese customers are carrying right now. But the third one, I'm not exactly
surprised because you guys were pretty transparent last year by saying you thought
that some of the customers may be doing a little bit of stockpiling. But can you kind
of rank order, I mean, among the top 3, which one are the ones that are causing
most of the near-term air pockets? And really just want to understand relative to,
let's say, a quarter ago, what has changed?

<A: Christopher S. Cook. – President of Products Business> Yes. So in -- by the
way, thank you, Charles. This is Chris Cook. And I would rank those in order as
you described them, actually, the customer-specific ramp issue that we expect to be
resolved in the second quarter was a hit, followed by a blend of the inventory and
demand corrections that we see. Obviously, to the extent that our customers burn

1 through that local inventory that shows up in reduced demand for us and excess
2 inventory burn. So it's a combination of those 3 in that order.

3 <Q: Yu Shi – Needham & Company, LLC – Senior Analyst> Got it. So let's say, if
4 we take out that China for China business, what would the guidance be like, I mean,
5 for the ex China business from Q4 into Q1? Do you still see sequential growth? Or
6 what's the picture there?

7 <A: Christopher S. Cook. – President of Products Business> No. I would say we're
8 not growing sequentially. We're off. And as we expect those things to be resolved,
9 we do expect for recovery in the second half, but we'll continue to see softness
10 through the first half of the year.

11 <Q: Yu Shi – Needham & Company, LLC – Senior Analyst> No. Chris, I meant
12 the ex China business, the non-China business, I was trying to ask, yes, from Q4 to
13 Q1. What's embedded in your guidance? Are you -- sequential growth.

14 <A: Christopher S. Cook. – President of Products Business> Yes, sorry, I
15 misunderstood the question. Yes, we're flattish.

16 <Q: Yu Shi – Needham & Company, LLC – Senior Analyst> Okay. Maybe last one
17 for me. The gross margin for Q4 and seems like the implied gross margin guidance
18 in Q1. Obviously, you don't really guide gross margin. I heard you talk about
19 product versus service mix seems like a little bit lighter than you thought. But the
20 product gross margin alone looks like a little bit lighter as well. What's the reason
21 for that, the gross margin -- the weakness in the Products division?

22 <A: Sheri L. Savage – CFO & Senior VP of Finance> Yes, really, the key thing is
23 the mix of the products that got shipped and where they got shipped from. So
24 obviously, with China being a little bit lower, we started to see that in Q4, and that's
25 a high-margin business. We also saw some of our other higher-margin products be
26 a little bit lighter for the quarter. So also being at year-end, we did have some
27 additional expenses surrounding just truing up some of our inventory and things
28 like that. So that's what really affected it. We see it somewhat flat going into Q1.
And we will continue to be looking at our cost structure with a close eye and make
sure that we continue to help that as we move through the year as well.

33. Investors and analysts reacted immediately to these revelations. The price of Ultra
Clean's common stock declined dramatically. From a closing market price of \$36.06 per share on
February 24, 2025, Ultra Clean's stock price fell to \$25.90 per share on February 25, 2025, a
decline of over 28% in the span a single day.

34. A number of well-known analysts who had been following Ultra Clean lowered
their price targets in response to Ultra Clean's disclosures. For example, Oppenheimer, while

1 lowering their price target from \$70 to \$60, noted weakness in China and headwinds in Services
2 from a struggling IDM customer.

3 35. Similarly, Craig Hallum lowered their price target for Ultra Clean from \$60 to \$44.

4 In pertinent part, Craig Hallum stated:

5 Ultra Clean is off to a slower than expected start to 2025, driven primarily by a
6 moderated business outlook for domestic China. The company is seeing some
7 slower than expected customer ramps in the region, as well as softer end market
8 demand and excess inventory levels that are being digested following a strong 2024.

9 * * *

10 Risks for UCTT

11 • The semiconductor industry in general, and the markets in which Ultra Clean
12 competes, are cyclical and highly competitive. In addition, the company is subject
13 to evolving industry standards.

14 • The company relies on a small number of customers for a significant portion of
15 sales. In FY23 the company's top two customers accounted for 57.4% of total
16 revenue.

17 • The company is highly dependent on achieving new design wins and obtaining
18 new customers to drive future growth and because of the lengthy sales cycles of
19 many of Ultra Clean's products, the company may incur significant expenses before
20 any revenue related to those products is generated.

21 36. The fact that these analysts, and others, discussed Ultra Clean's shortfall and below-
22 expectation projections suggests the public placed significant weight on Ultra Clean's prior
23 revenue and sales estimates. The frequent, in-depth discussion of the demand for Ultra Clean's
24 products and services in the domestic Chinese market shows that Defendants' statements during
25 the Class Period were material.

26 **D. Loss Causation and Economic Loss**

27 37. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, Defendants made materially false and
28 misleading statements and engaged in a scheme to deceive the market and a course of conduct that
artificially inflated the price of Ultra Clean's common stock and operated as a fraud or deceit on
Class Period purchasers of Ultra Clean's common stock by materially misleading the investing
public. Later, Defendants' prior misrepresentations and fraudulent conduct became apparent to the
market, the price of Ultra Clean's common stock materially declined, as the prior artificial inflation

1 came out of the price over time. As a result of their purchases of Ultra Clean’s common stock
2 during the Class Period, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered economic loss, *i.e.*,
3 damages under federal securities laws.

4 38. Ultra Clean’s stock price fell in response to the corrective event on February 24,
5 2025, as alleged *supra*. On February 24, 2025, information was disclosed that was directly related
6 to the Defendants’ prior misrepresentations and material omissions concerning the demand for
7 Ultra Clean’s products and services in the domestic Chinese market.

8 39. In particular, on February 24, 2025, Ultra Clean published fourth quarter and full
9 year 2024 fiscal results and hosted an earnings call to discuss these results in detail. During the
10 earnings call, the Company disclosed that it was experiencing “unexpected softness” in China, the
11 same market it had touted as experiencing “elevated demand,” which the Company stated was not
12 expected to decline and referenced as “continued strength” for Ultra Clean.

13 **E. Presumption of Reliance; Fraud-On-The-Market**

14 40. At all relevant times, the market for Ultra Clean’s common stock was an efficient
15 market for the following reasons, among others:

16 (a) Ultra Clean’s common stock met the requirements for listing and was listed and
17 actively traded on the NASDAQ during the Class Period, a highly efficient and automated market;

18 (b) Ultra Clean communicated with public investors via established market
19 communication mechanisms, including disseminations of press releases on the national circuits of
20 major newswire services and other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with
21 the financial press and other similar reporting services;

22 (c) Ultra Clean was followed by several securities analysts employed by major
23 brokerage firms who wrote reports that were distributed to the sales force and certain customers
24 of their respective brokerage firms during the Class Period. Each of these reports was publicly
25 available and entered the public marketplace; and

26 (d) Unexpected material news about Ultra Clean was reflected in and incorporated into
27 the Company’s stock price during the Class Period.

28

1 41. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Ultra Clean’s common stock promptly
2 digested current information regarding the Company from all publicly available sources and
3 reflected such information in Ultra Clean’s stock price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers
4 of Ultra Clean’s common stock during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their
5 purchase of Ultra Clean’s common stock at artificially inflated prices, and a presumption of
6 reliance applies.

7 42. Alternatively, reliance need not be proven in this action because the action involves
8 omissions and deficient disclosures. Positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to recovery
9 pursuant to ruling of the United States Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United*
10 *States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972). All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense
11 that a reasonable investor might have considered the omitted information important in deciding
12 whether to buy or sell the subject security.

13 **F. No Safe Harbor; Inapplicability of Bespeaks Caution Doctrine**

14 43. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain
15 circumstances does not apply to any of the material misrepresentations and omissions alleged in
16 this Complaint. As alleged above, Defendants’ liability stems from the fact that they provided
17 investors with statements about the then-existing demand for Ultra Clean’s products while at the
18 same time failing to disclose *inter alia* softening demand.

19 44. To the extent certain of the statements alleged to be misleading or inaccurate may
20 be characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as “forward-looking statements”
21 when made and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that
22 could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking
23 statements.

24 45. Defendants are also liable for any false or misleading “forward-looking statements”
25 pleaded because, at the time each “forward-looking statement” was made, the speaker knew the
26 “forward-looking statement” was false or misleading and the “forward-looking statement” was
27 authorized and/or approved by an executive officer of Ultra Clean who knew that the “forward-
28 looking statement” was false. Alternatively, none of the historic or present-tense statements made

1 by Defendants were assumptions underlying or relating to any plan, projection, or statement of
2 future economic performance, as they were not stated to be such assumptions underlying or
3 relating to any projection or statement of future economic performance when made, nor were any
4 of the projections or forecasts made by the defendants expressly related to or stated to be dependent
5 on those historic or present-tense statements when made.

6 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

7 46. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
8 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise
9 acquired Ultra Clean's common stock during the Class Period (the "Class"); and were damaged
10 upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosure. Excluded from the Class are Defendants
11 herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate
12 families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which
13 Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

14 47. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
15 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Ultra Clean's common stock were actively traded on
16 the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and
17 can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds
18 or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class
19 may be identified from records maintained by Ultra Clean or its transfer agent and may be notified
20 of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in
21 securities class actions. As of January 24, 2025, there were approximately 45.1 million shares of
22 the Company's common stock outstanding. Upon information and belief, these shares are held by
23 thousands, if not millions, of individuals located throughout the country and possibly the world.
24 Joinder would be highly impracticable.

25 48. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all
26 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of
27 federal law that is complained of herein.

1 49. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class
2 and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has
3 no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.

4 50. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
5 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the
6 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

7 (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged
8 herein;

9 (b) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class
10 Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and management of Ultra
11 Clean;

12 (c) whether the Individual Defendants caused Ultra Clean to issue false and misleading
13 financial statements during the Class Period;

14 (d) whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading
15 financial statements;

16 (e) whether the prices of Ultra Clean's common stock during the Class Period were
17 artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and

18 (f) whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the
19 proper measure of damages.

20 51. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
21 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
22 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden
23 of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the
24 wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

1 **COUNT I**

2 ***Against All Defendants for Violations of***

3 **Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder**

4 52. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully
5 set forth herein.

6 53. This Count is asserted against Defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the
7 Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

8 54. During the Class Period, Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and
9 course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions,
10 practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon. Plaintiff and the other
11 members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state
12 material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under
13 which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in
14 connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout
15 the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members,
16 as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Ultra Clean common
17 stock; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise acquire
18 Ultra Clean's securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan
19 and course of conduct, Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

20 55. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the
21 Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly
22 and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described
23 above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to
24 influence the market for Ultra Clean's securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements
25 were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information
26 and misrepresented the truth about the Company.

27 56. By virtue of their positions at the Company, Defendants had actual knowledge of
28 the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended

1 thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, Defendants
2 acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose
3 such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made,
4 although such facts were readily available to Defendants. Said acts and omissions of Defendants
5 were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each Defendant knew
6 or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described
7 above.

8 57. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard
9 for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers
10 and/or directors of the Company, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of Ultra
11 Clean's internal affairs.

12 58. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs
13 complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual
14 Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of the
15 Company. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had
16 a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Ultra Clean's
17 businesses, operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the
18 dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements,
19 the market price of Ultra Clean's common stock was artificially inflated throughout the Class
20 Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts concerning the Company which were concealed by
21 Defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Ultra
22 Clean's common stock at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the common stock,
23 the integrity of the market for the common stock and/or upon statements disseminated by
24 Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

25 59. During the Class Period, Ultra Clean's common stock was traded on an active and
26 efficient market. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and
27 misleading statements described herein, which the Defendants made, issued or caused to be
28 disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares

1 of Ultra Clean's common stock at prices artificially inflated by Defendants' wrongful conduct.
2 Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased
3 or otherwise acquired said common stock, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired
4 them at the inflated prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by
5 Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of Ultra Clean's common stock was substantially lower than
6 the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class. The market price of Ultra Clean's
7 common stock declined sharply upon public disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of
8 Plaintiff and Class members.

9 60. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, Defendants knowingly or recklessly,
10 directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
11 promulgated thereunder.

12 61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the
13 other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases,
14 acquisitions and sales of the Company's common stock during the Class Period, upon the
15 disclosure that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial statements to the
16 investing public.

17 **COUNT II**

18 ***Against the Individual Defendants***

19 ***for Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act***

20 62. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing
21 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

22 63. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation
23 and management of the Company, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the
24 conduct of the Company's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the
25 adverse non-public information about Ultra Clean's misstatements.

26 64. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual
27 Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information, and to correct promptly
28 any public statements issued by Ultra Clean which had become materially false or misleading.

1 65. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual
2 Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and
3 public filings which Ultra Clean disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period
4 concerning the misrepresentations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants
5 exercised their power and authority to cause Ultra Clean to engage in the wrongful acts complained
6 of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were “controlling persons” of the Company within
7 the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the
8 unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Ultra Clean’s common
9 stock.

10 66. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of the
11 Company. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of the Company,
12 each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same
13 to cause Ultra Clean to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the
14 Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of the Company and possessed
15 the power to control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which
16 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class complain.

17 67. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants and/or Ultra Clean are
18 liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by the Company.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demand judgment against Defendants as follows:

21 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
22 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representatives;

23 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason
24 of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

25 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class pre-judgment and post-
26 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys’ fees, expert fees and other costs; and

27 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
28

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: March 24, 2025

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28